IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MALLET AND COMPANY INC.,	
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 19-1409
v.)	Judge Cathy Bissoon
ADA LACAYO, et al.,	
Defendants.)	
We answer the questions submitted to us as fo	RDICT FORM ollows:
SECT	TION ONE
Misappropriation of Trade Sec	crets Claims Against All Defendants
1. Did Mallet prove by a preponderance	e of the evidence that Mallet owned property that
qualified as trade secret(s)?	
Yes No	

If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, then proceed to Question 2. Otherwise, this Section is complete and you may proceed to <u>Section Two</u>.

2.	Identify the A	Asserted Trade	Secrets, listed in trial Exhibit P-425, that you find qualify as
trade s	secrets. Please	specify, all of	the Asserted Trade Secrets or list the specific Asserted Trade
Secret			
Specif	ic Trade Secret	t(s) 14	19, 20, 27, 44, 45, 50, 51, 62 65
3.	Do you find	that the Defe	endants improperly used (misappropriated) Mallet's trade
secret((s)? If yes, spec	cify which Trac	de Secret(s)
	Bundy	Yes 📈	No If yes, specify which Trade Secret(s) All above Except 65
	Synova	Yes V	No If yes, specify which Trade Secret(s) All above except 64,65
	Lacayo	Yes	No All above except If yes, specify which Trade Secret(s) 64,65
	Bowers	Yes/	No If yes, specify which Trade Secret(s) 65

If you answered "Yes" to Question 3 for any Defendant, then proceed to Question 4. Otherwise, this Section is complete and you may proceed to <u>Section Two</u>.

4. Was Mallet harmed, or were Defendant(s) unjustly enriched, as a result of Defendant(s) misappropriation of Mallet's trade secrets?

	Harm to Plaintiff?		Defendant <u>Unjustly Enriched?</u>		Did not <u>Misappropriate</u>
Bundy	Yes	No/	Yes	No 🔟	N/A
Synova	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A
Lacayo	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A
Bowers	Yes	No 🗌	Yes	No /	N/A

If you answered "Yes" to any of the above questions, then proceed to Question 5. If you answered "No" or "N/A" to all of question in Question 4, you have completed this section and should move to Section Two.

5. What amount of harm to Mallet, if any, was caused by Defendant(s)' misappropriation of its trade secret(s)?

Damages \$\frac{2\pi_000}{2\pi_000}\$ (if none, enter "0")

Proceed to Question 6.

6.	What amount of unjust enrichment, if any, was caused by the improper use of Mallet's			
trade s	ecrets by Defe	ndant(s)?		
Unjust	Enrichment	\$		(if none, enter "0")
Procee	ed to Question	7.		
7.	Was Defendar	nt's misappro _l	priation of Mallet's tra	ade secret(s) willful and malicious?
	Bundy	Yes	No	
	Synova	Yes 🗹	No	
If you o Section	answered "Yes n is complete an	" to any of the nd you may pr	e above questions, the coceed to Section Two	n proceed to Question 8. Otherwise, this
8.	What amount	of punitive da	ımages, if any, do you	award Mallet against Defendant(s)?
(The a	mount cannot c	exceed two tin	nes the amount of con	npensatory damages you awarded.)
	Bundy	\$ 500	000	
	Synova	\$ 250	000	

Please proceed to Section Two.

SECTION TWO

<u>Inevitable Disclosure of Confidential Information and Trade Secrets.</u> <u>Against All Defendants</u>

1. Did Mallet prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the nature of Ms. Lacayo's
or Mr. Bowers's work for Bundy and/or Synova made disclosure of Mallet's confidential
information and trade secrets inevitable?
Yes No
If your answer to Question 1 is "Yes" for either Defendant, then proceed to Question 2. If you answer to Question 1 is "No" for both, this Section is complete and you may proceed to Section Three.
2. Did a Defendant's inevitable disclosure of trade secrets cause Mallet harm?
Yes No

SECTION THREE

Breach of Contract Against Lacayo and Bowers

1.	Did Mallet prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Ms. Lacayo or Mr. Bowers				
breach	ached her/his non-competition and/or nondisclosure agreement(s)?				
	Lacayo	Yes/	No		
	Bowers	Yes /	No		
answei	~			fendant, then proceed to Question 2. If your is complete and you may proceed to	
2.	For the Defen	dant(s) who bre	eached, did the	breach cause Mallet harm?	
	Lacayo	Yes 🗹	No	N/A (she did not breach)	
	Bowers	Yes	No	N/A (he did not breach)	
3.	For the Defendant(s) who breached, was any Defendant unjustly enriched as a result of				
the breach?					
	Lacayo	Yes	No 🗹	N/A (no breach)	
	Bowers	Yes	No 🔽	N/A (no breach)	

- 4. For the Defendant(s) who breached, state the amount of damages you award to Mallet:
 - (a) as compensation for its harm, if harm was proven; or
 - (b) based on unjust enrichment, if a Defendant was unjustly enriched.

An award must be based on compensation for harm, or based on unjust enrichment — it cannot be both.

** Also, an award of damages in this Section must be for harm(s) that are separate and apart from your award, if any, for Misappropriation of Trade Secrets in Section One.

CIRCLE ONLY ONE

Lacayo	Damages \$(leave blank if she did not breach)	Harm to Mallet	Unjust Enrichment
Bowers	Damages \$(leave blank if he did not breach)	Harm to Mallet	Unjust Enrichment

Please proceed to Section Four.

SECTION FOUR

Breach of Fiduciary Duty Against Lacayo and Bowers

1.	Did a fiduciary or confidential relationship exist between Defendant and Mallet?				
	Lacayo	Yes	No		
	Bowers	Yes	No		
answe					, proceed to Question 2. If your plete and you may proceed to
2.	Did Mallet prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant breached a				ce that the Defendant breached a
fiduci	ary duty owed t	to Mallet?			
	Lacayo	Yes	No	N/A (s	she did not breach)
	Bowers	Yes	No	N/A (I	ne did not breach)
answe	r answer to Quer to Question I tion Five.	estion 2 is "Ye ' is "No" or ".	es" for eit N/A" for	ther Defendani both, this Sect	t, then proceed to Question 3. If you ion is complete and you may proceed
3.	For the Defen	ndant(s) <u>who b</u>	reached,	was Mallet ha	rmed?
From	Lacayo's breac	Yes		No V	N/A (she did not breach)
From	From Bowers's breach			No V	N/A (he did not breach)

If your answer to Question 3 is "Yes" for either Defendant, then proceed to Question 4. If your answer to Question 3 is "No" or "N/A" for both, this Section is complete and you may proceed to Section Five.

4. What damages do you award Mallet, if any, for Defendant(s)' breach?

*An award of damages in this Section must be for harm(s) that are separate and apart from your award, if any, for Misappropriation of Trade Secrets in Section One.

Lacayo	\$
	(leave blank if she did not breach)
Bowers	\$ (-
	(leave blank if he did not breach)

Please proceed to Section Five.

SECTION FIVE

A. Tortious Interference with Contractual Relations Against Bundy and Synova

1. Did M	allet prove by a	n preponderance	e of the evidence that Bundy or Synova tortiously
interfered with	agreement(s)	Mallet had with	n Ms. Lacayo or Mr. Bowers?
Bundy	Yes	No	
Synova	Yes	No 🗆	
			ther Bundy or Synova, proceed to Question 2. If your Section is complete. Please proceed to Section 6.
2. Has M	allet proven by	a preponderan	ce of the evidence that Bundy's or Synova's actions
in connection	with the Malle	t agreement(s)	were <u>not</u> justified or privileged?
Bundy	Yes	No 🔽	N/A (no interference)
Synova	Yes	No /	N/A (no interference)
If your answer to Question 2 is "Yes" for either Bundy or Synova, proceed to Question 3. If your answer to Question 2 is "No" or "N/A" for both, this Section is complete. Please proceed to Section 6			

3. Did Bu	Did Bundy's and/or Synova's tortious interference cause Mallet harm?						
Bundy	Yes	No	N/A (no breach	h, or Bundy was justified/privileged)			
Synova	Yes	No	N/A (no breach	h, or Synova was justified/privileged)			
	If your answer to Question 3 is "Yes" for either Bundy or Synova, proceed to Question 4. If your answer to Question 3 is "No" or "N/A" for both, this Section complete. Please proceed to Section 6.						
4. What d	lamages do you	award Mallet,	if any, for Defe	endant(s)' breach? Also, if the			
Defendant's co	onduct was outr	ageous, state t	he amount of p	unitive damages, if any, entered			
against it.							
U	damages in this for Misappropr		. ,	that are separate and apart from your tion One.			
Bundy	Compensatory	Damages		Punitive Damages			
	\$	it did not inter		\$			
Synova	Compensatory	Damages		Punitive Damages			
	s 250,	560		s 250, 000			
	(leave blank if or it was justifi	it did not inter		(leave blank if it did not interfere <i>or</i> it was justified/privileged <i>or</i> no outrageous conduct)			
D.I							

Please proceed to Section 6.

SECTION SIX

Aiding and Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty Against Bundy and Synova

1.	In Section For	ır, above, did you	find that Ms. Lacayo or Mr. Bowers (a) owed a fiduciary
duty, a	and (b) breached	d that duty? Chec	k the box that applies.
		or Mr. Bowers ov and breached it.	ved \text{No, neither did.}
			then proceed to Question 2. If your answer to Question 1 ou may proceed to Section Seven.
2.	Did Mallet pro	ove by a preponde	rance of the evidence that Bundy or Synova aided and
abetted	d in a breach of	fiduciary duty ow	ved by Ms. Lacayo or Mr. Bowers?
Bundy	Yes	No	
Synov	Yes a	No	
<i>If your</i>			for either <u>Bundy</u> or <u>Synova</u> , proceed to Question 3. or both, Section Six is complete and you may proceed to
3.	Did Bundy's	or Synova's aiding	g and abetting cause Mallet harm?
Bundy	Yes	No	N/A (Bundy did not aid and abet)
Synov	Yes/	No	N/A (Synova did not aid and abet)

4. What compensatory damages do you award Mallet, if any, for Defendant(s)' aiding and abetting? Also, if the Defendant's conduct was outrageous, state the amount of punitive damages, if any, entered against it.

*An award of damages in this Section must be for harm(s) that are separate and apart from your award, if any, for Misappropriation of Trade Secrets in Section One.

Bundy	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages
	\$	\$
Synova	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages
	\$250	\$

Please proceed to Section Seven.

SECTION SEVEN

Conversion Against All Defendants

1.	Did Mallet show by a preponderance of the evidence that Mallet owned – or had a right
of pos	session in – confidential and proprietary information, and that it was deprived of its
proper	ty rights by wrongful act(s) of the Defendant that was/were inconsistent with Mallet's
proper	ty rights?

Bundy	Yes V	No
Synova	Yes/	No
Lacayo	Yes	No
Bowers	Yes/	No

If your answer to Question 1 is "Yes" for any Defendant, then proceed to Question 2. If your answer to Question 1 is "No" for all Defendants, this Section is complete and you may proceed to Section Eight.

2	Did Mallet suffer	damages as	a result of Defendant((s)	conversion?
۷٠	Dia Manot Sunt	damages as	a result of Defendant	ω_{I}	COII V CI SI CII.

Bundy	Yes	No	N/A (no conversion by Bundy)
Synova	Yes	No	N/A (no conversion by Synova)
Lacayo	Yes	No V	N/A (no conversion by Lacayo)
Bowers	Yes	No /	N/A (no conversion by Bowers)

If your answer to Question 2 is "Yes" for any Defendant, then proceed to Question 3. If your answer to Question 2 is "No" for all Defendants, this Section is complete and you may proceed to Section Eight.

3. What compensatory damages do you award Mallet, if any, for Defendant(s)' conversion? Also, if Bundy's or Synova's conduct was outrageous, state the amount of punitive damages, if any, entered against them.

*An award of damages in this Section must be for harm(s) that are separate and apart from your award, if any, for Misappropriation of Trade Secrets in Section One.

Bundy	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages
	\$(leave blank if no conversion)	\$(leave blank if no conversion or no outrageous conduct)
Synova	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages
	\$	\$ 250 000 (leave blank if no conversion or no outrageous conduct)
Lacayo	Compensatory Damages \$	Punitive Damages N/A
Bowers	Compensatory Damages \$	Punitive Damages N/A

Please proceed to Section Eight.

SECTION EIGHT

A. <u>Unfair Competition, Against All Defendants</u>

1. Did Mallet prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant(s) engaged in unfair conduct, such as deceptive marketing, misappropriation of trade secrets and other intangible trade values, or acts or practices that are actionable under federal or state statutes, causing harm to Mallet's commercial relations?

Bundy	Yes V	No
Synova	Yes	No
Lacayo	Yes	No
Bowers	Yes	No

If your answer to Question 1 is "Yes" for any Defendant, then proceed to Question 2. If your answer to Question 1 is "No" for all Defendants, your deliberations are complete. Please sign and date the Verdict Form, on the next page, and notify the Court that you have reached a verdict.

*An award of damages in this Section must be for harm(s) that are separate and apart from your award, if any, for Misappropriation of Trade Secrets in Section One.

Bundy	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages
	\$(leave blank if no unfair competition)	\$(leave blank if no unfair competition, or no outrageous conduct)
Synova	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages
	\$(leave blank if no unfair competition)	\$(leave blank if no unfair competition, or no outrageous conduct)
Lacayo	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages N/A
	(leave blank if no unfair competition)	
Bowers	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages N/A
	(leave blank if no unfair competition)	

Your deliberations are complete. Please sign and date the Verdict Form, on the next page, and notify the Court that you have reached a verdict.

We the Jury have reached unanimous decision regarding each Section in this Jury Verdict

Form, as reflected in the responses marked in each Section.

1.

(Jury Foreperson's signature)

2.

3.

4.

Date